viz. where it is settled, by way of use, or
power over a use. (2 Bs. 191. 2 J.R. 595.) It is not
necessary to explain the artificial doctrine, by
which her ~~testimony~~ "appointment", or, ~~declaration~~
"declaration", or "writing in nature of a devise," is
supported, in these cases, or the manner, in which
the execution of her authority takes effect. It
is sufficient to say, that, in the present ~~instance~~,
instance, neither of the above modes has
been pursued. There has been no settlement
made, nor even an agreement to make one.
Whatever ~~intention~~ intention Mrs. B. to do "all & every such
"act & acts, as may be needful & proper, &c. for
"carrying" Mrs. B's will "into full effect", is
in fact a stipulation
wholly nugatory. It does not ~~recognize~~ for any
future
settlement, by way of use, or trust, whatever.
And, certainly, nothing short of such a settlement,
or a specific agreement, by the necessary parties,
that one shall be made in future, can give
effect, in equity,
to a ~~future~~ even covenant devise or appointment,
in the case of real estate. (6 Bro. Parl. Ca. 150.
2 J.R. 595.)

Whether any part of Mrs. B's real property lies in
other states, than those, to whose laws I have adverted,
does not appear to me. If not, I am of
opinion, that the will is void, both in law & equity,
as to the whole property, both real & personal. If so,
as to the real estate in Massachusetts, I am, by
no means, free from doubt.

July 25. 1816.

J. Story.

P.S. The question, respecting the local laws of Pennsylvania, I am not able to answer.